

## **ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL No. 1121**

DIGEST OF HB 1121 (Updated February 19, 2014 1:48 pm - DI 106)

Citations Affected: IC 4-2; IC 4-21.5; IC 4-22.

**Synopsis:** Administrative adjudication. Authorizes an agency to share an administrative law judge with another agency. Requires the inspector general to adopt a statewide code of judicial conduct for administrative law judges. Provides that an administrative law judge who is not the ultimate authority or a member of the ultimate authority must: (1) be an attorney; or (2) have served as an administrative law judge before January 1, 2014. Prohibits the ultimate authority from communicating with certain persons, including: (1) a party; (2) a person with an interest in the outcome; or (3) a person who presided at an earlier stage of the proceeding; concerning a matter pending before or adjudicated by an administrative law judge if there is a reasonable likelihood that the ultimate authority will be called upon to review or issue a final order with respect to the matter. Requires and agency to disclose certain information relating to administrative law judges employed by the agency. Specifies when a party has standing to obtain judicial review of an agency action. Requires that an agency's rulemaking docket must contain certain additional information.

Effective: Upon passage; July 1, 2014.

### Koch

(SENATE SPONSOR — HERSHMAN)

January 9, 2014, read first time and referred to Committee on Government and Regulatory

orm.
January 14, 2014, reported — Do Pass.
January 16, 2014, read second time, amended, ordered engrossed.
January 17, 2014, engrossed.
January 21, 2014, read third time, passed. Yeas 96, nays 0.

SENATE ACTION
February 4, 2014, read first time and referred to Committee on Judiciary.
February 20, 2014, amended, reported favorably — Do Pass.



#### Second Regular Session 118th General Assembly (2014)

PRINTING CODE. Amendments: Whenever an existing statute (or a section of the Indiana Constitution) is being amended, the text of the existing provision will appear in this style type, additions will appear in this style type, and deletions will appear in this style type.

Additions: Whenever a new statutory provision is being enacted (or a new constitutional provision adopted), the text of the new provision will appear in **this style type**. Also, the word **NEW** will appear in that style type in the introductory clause of each SECTION that adds a new provision to the Indiana Code or the Indiana Constitution.

Conflict reconciliation: Text in a statute in *this style type* or *this style type* reconciles conflicts between statutes enacted by the 2013 Regular Session and 2013 First Regular Technical Session of the General Assembly.

# ENGROSSED HOUSE BILL No. 1121

A BILL FOR AN ACT to amend the Indiana Code concerning state offices and administration.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Indiana:

1	SECTION 1. IC 4-2-7-3, AS AMENDED BY P.L.126-2012,
2	SECTION 4, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE
3	UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 3. The inspector general shall do the
4	following:
5	(1) Initiate, supervise, and coordinate investigations.
6	(2) Recommend policies and carry out other activities designed to
7	deter, detect, and eradicate fraud, waste, abuse, mismanagement,
8	and misconduct in state government.
9	(3) Receive complaints alleging the following:
10	(A) A violation of the code of ethics.
11	(B) Bribery (IC 35-44.1-1-2).
12	(C) Official misconduct (IC 35-44.1-1-1).
13	(D) Conflict of interest (IC 35-44.1-1-4).
14	(E) Profiteering from public service (IC 35-44.1-1-5).
15	(F) A violation of the executive branch lobbying rules.
16	(G) A violation of a statute or rule relating to the purchase of



1	goods or services by a current or former employee, state
2	officer, special state appointee, lobbyist, or person who has a
3	business relationship with an agency.
4	(4) If the inspector general has reasonable cause to believe that a
5	crime has occurred or is occurring, report the suspected crime to:
6	(A) the governor; and
7	(B) appropriate state or federal law enforcement agencies and
8	prosecuting authorities having jurisdiction over the matter.
9	(5) Adopt rules under IC 4-22-2 to implement IC 4-2-6 and this
10	chapter.
11	(6) Adopt rules under IC 4-22-2 and section 5 of this chapter to
12	implement a code of ethics.
13	(7) Ensure that every:
14	(A) employee;
15	(B) state officer;
16	(C) special state appointee; and
17	(D) person who has a business relationship with an agency;
18	is properly trained in the code of ethics.
19	(8) Provide advice to an agency on developing, implementing,
20	and enforcing policies and procedures to prevent or reduce the
21	risk of fraudulent or wrongful acts within the agency.
22	(9) Recommend legislation to the governor and general assembly
23	to strengthen public integrity laws, including the code of ethics
24	for state officers, employees, special state appointees, and persons
25	who have a business relationship with an agency, including
26	whether additional specific state officers, employees, or special
27	state appointees should be required to file a financial disclosure
28	statement under IC 4-2-6-8.
29	(10) Annually submit a report to the legislative council detailing
30	the inspector general's activities. The report must be in an
31	electronic format under IC 5-14-6.
32	(11) Prescribe and provide forms for statements required to be
33	filed under IC 4-2-6 or this chapter.
34	(12) Accept and file information that:
35	(A) is voluntarily supplied; and
36	(B) exceeds the requirements of this chapter.
37	(13) Inspect financial disclosure forms.
38	(14) Notify persons who fail to file forms required under IC 4-2-6
39	or this chapter.
40	(15) Develop a filing, a coding, and an indexing system required
41	by IC 4-2-6 and IC 35-44.1-1.
42	(16) Prepare interpretive and educational materials and programs.



1	(17) Adopt rules under IC 4-22-2 and section 9 of this chapter
2	to implement a statewide code of judicial conduct for
3	administrative law judges. The inspector general may adopt
4	emergency rules in the manner provided under IC 4-22-2-37.1
5	to implement a statewide code of judicial conduct for
6	administrative law judges.
7	SECTION 2. IC 4-2-7-9 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS
8	A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE UPON
9	PASSAGE]: Sec. 9. (a) The inspector general shall adopt rules
10	under IC 4-22-2 establishing a statewide code of judicial conduct
11	for administrative law judges. The statewide code of judicial
12	conduct for administrative law judges must apply to every person
13	acting as an administrative law judge for a state agency.
14	(b) The inspector general:
15	(1) shall review 312 IAC 3-1-2.5 and 315 IAC 1-1-2 in
16	adopting a statewide code of judicial conduct for
17	administrative law judges; and
18	(2) may base the statewide code of judicial conduct for
19	administrative law judges on 312 IAC 3-1-2.5 and 315
20	IAC 1-1-2.
21	(c) A state agency may adopt rules under IC 4-22-2 to establish
22	a supplemental code of judicial conduct for a person acting as an
23	administrative law judge for that agency, if the supplemental code
24	is at least as restrictive as the statewide code of judicial conduct for
25	administrative law judges.
26	(d) The inspector general may adopt emergency rules in the
27	manner provided under IC 4-22-2-37.1 to implement a statewide
28	code of judicial conduct for administrative law judges.
29	SECTION 3. IC 4-21.5-3-8.5 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA
30	CODE AS A <b>NEW</b> SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS
31	[EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2014]: Sec. 8.5. (a) An agency may share an
32	administrative law judge with another agency:
33	(1) to avoid bias, prejudice, interest in the outcome, or
34	another conflict of interest;
35	(2) if a party requests a change of administrative law judge;
36	(3) to ease scheduling difficulties; or
37	(4) for another good cause.
38	An agency may adopt rules under IC 4-22-2 to implement this
39	subsection.
40	(b) To the extent practicable, an administrative law judge must
41	have expertise in the area of law being adjudicated.

(c) An agency shall post on the agency's Internet web site the:



1	(1) name;
2	(2) salary and other remuneration; and
3	(3) relevant professional experience;
4	of every person who serves as an administrative law judge for the
5	agency.
6	SECTION 4. IC 4-21.5-3-9 IS AMENDED TO READ AS
7	FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2014]: Sec. 9. (a) Except to the
8	extent that a statute other than this article limits an agency's discretion
9	to select an administrative law judge, the ultimate authority for an
10	agency may:
11	(1) act as an administrative law judge;
12	(2) designate one (1) or more members of the ultimate authority
13	(if the ultimate authority is a panel of individuals) to act as an
14	administrative law judge; or
15	(3) designate one (1) or more: other individuals
16	(A) attorneys licensed to practice law in Indiana; or
17	(B) persons who served as administrative law judges for a
18	state agency before January 1, 2014;
19	not necessarily employees of the agency, to act as an
20	administrative law judge.
21	A person designated under subdivision (3) is not required to be an
22	<b>employee of the agency.</b> A designation under subdivision (2) or (3)
23	may be made in advance of the commencement of any particular
24	proceeding for a generally described class of proceedings or may be
25	made for a particular proceeding. A general designation may provide
26	procedures for the assignment of designated individuals to particular
27	proceedings.
28	(b) An agency may not knowingly assign an individual to serve
29	alone or with others as an administrative law judge who is subject to
30	disqualification under this chapter.
31	(c) If the judge believes that the judge's impartiality might
32	reasonably be questioned, or believes that the judge's personal bias,
33	prejudice, or knowledge of a disputed evidentiary fact might influence
34	the decision, an individual assigned to serve alone or with others as an
35	administrative law judge shall:
36	(1) withdraw as the administrative law judge; or
37	(2) inform the parties of the potential basis for disqualification,
38	place a brief statement of this basis on the record of the
39	proceeding, and allow the parties an opportunity to petition for
40	disqualification under subsection (d).
41	(d) Any party to a proceeding may petition for the disqualification

of an individual serving alone or with others as an administrative law



judge upon discovering facts establishing grounds for disqualification
under this chapter. The administrative law judge assigned to the
proceeding shall determine whether to grant the petition, stating facts
and reasons for the determination. If the administrative law judge
ruling on the disqualification issue is not the ultimate authority for the
agency, the party petitioning for disqualification may petition the
ultimate authority in writing for review of the ruling within ten (10)
days after notice of the ruling is served. The ultimate authority shall
conduct proceedings described by section 28 of this chapter to review
the petition and affirm, modify, or dissolve the ruling within thirty (30)
days after the petition is filed. A determination by the ultimate
authority under this subsection is a final order subject to judicial review
under IC 4-21 5-5

- (e) If a substitute is required for an administrative law judge who is disqualified or becomes unavailable for any other reason, the substitute must be appointed in accordance with subsection (a).
- (f) Any action taken by a duly appointed substitute for a disqualified or unavailable administrative law judge is as effective as if taken by the latter.
- (g) If there is a reasonable likelihood that the ultimate authority will be called upon to:
  - (1) review; or

- (2) issue a final order with respect to;
- a matter pending before or adjudicated by an administrative law judge, the provisions of section 11 of this chapter that apply to an administrative law judge or to a person communicating with an administrative law judge apply to a member of the ultimate authority and to a person communicating with a member of the ultimate authority.
- SECTION 5. IC 4-21.5-3-24 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2014]: Sec. 24. (a) At any stage of a proceeding, if a party fails to:
  - (1) satisfy the requirements of section 7(a) of this chapter;
  - (2) file a responsive pleading required by statute or rule;
  - (2) (3) attend or participate in a prehearing conference, hearing, or other stage of the proceeding; or
  - (3) (4) take action on a matter for a period of sixty (60) days, if the party is responsible for taking the action;
- the administrative law judge may serve upon all parties written notice of a proposed default or dismissal order, including a statement of the grounds.
  - (b) Within seven (7) days after service of a proposed default or



dismissal order, the party against whom it was issued may file a written motion requesting that the proposed default order not be imposed and
stating the grounds relied upon. During the time within which a party
may file a written motion under this subsection, the administrative law
judge may adjourn the proceedings or conduct them without the
participation of the party against whom a proposed default order was
issued, having due regard for the interest of justice and the orderly and
prompt conduct of the proceedings.
(a) If the party has failed to file a written motion under subsection

- (c) If the party has failed to file a written motion under subsection (b), the administrative law judge shall issue the default or dismissal order. If the party has filed a written motion under subsection (b), the administrative law judge may either enter the order or refuse to enter the order.
- (d) After issuing a default order, the administrative law judge shall conduct any further proceedings necessary to complete the proceeding without the participation of the party in default and shall determine all issues in the adjudication, including those affecting the defaulting party. The administrative law judge may conduct proceedings in accordance with section 23 of this chapter to resolve any issue of fact.

SECTION 6. IC 4-21.5-5-3, AS AMENDED BY P.L.219-2007, SECTION 5, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2014]: Sec. 3. (a) The following have standing to obtain judicial review of an agency action:

- (1) A person to whom the **final** agency action is specifically directed.
- (2) A person who was a party to the agency proceedings of the ultimate authority that led to the final agency action, including the agency whose order was under review in the proceeding.
- (3) A person eligible for standing under a law applicable to the **final** agency action.
- (4) A person otherwise aggrieved or adversely affected by the **final** agency action.
- (b) A person has standing under subsection (a)(4) only if:
  - (1) the **final** agency action has prejudiced or is likely to prejudice the interests of the person;
- (2) the person:
  - (A) was eligible for an initial notice of an order or proceeding under this article, was not notified of the order or proceeding in substantial compliance with this article, and did not have actual notice of the order or proceeding before the last date in the proceeding that the person could object or otherwise intervene to contest the agency action; or



1	(B) was qualified to intervene to contest an agency action
2	under IC 4-21.5-3-21(a), petitioned for intervention in the
3	proceeding, and was denied party status;
4	(3) the person's asserted interests are among those that the agency
5	was required to consider when it engaged in the agency action
6	challenged; and
7	(4) a judgment in favor of the person would substantially
8	eliminate or redress the prejudice to the person caused or likely
9	to be caused by the <b>final</b> agency action.
10	SECTION 7. IC 4-22-2-22.5, AS ADDED BY P.L.152-2012,
11	SECTION 6, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE
12	JULY 1, 2014]: Sec. 22.5. (a) This section applies to a rule that an
13	agency intends to adopt under sections 24 through 36 of this chapter.
14	(b) As used in this section, "pending rulemaking action" means
15	any rulemaking action in which:
16	(1) either:
17	(A) a notice of intent has been published under section 23
18	of this chapter; or
19	(B) a rulemaking action has been commenced under
20	IC 13-14-9; and
21	(2) the rule has not become effective under section 36 of this
22	chapter.
23	(c) Each agency shall maintain a current rulemaking docket that is
24	indexed.
25	(e) (d) A current rulemaking docket must list each pending
26	rulemaking proceeding action. The docket must state or contain:
27	(1) the subject matter of the proposed rule;
28	(2) notices related to the proposed rule, or links to the Indiana
29	Register where these notices may be viewed;
30	(3) how comments may be made;
31	(4) the time within which comments may be made;
32	(5) where comments and the agency's written response to those
33	comments may be inspected;
34	(6) requests for a the date, time, and place where a public
35	hearing required under:
36	(A) section 26 of this chapter; or
37	(B) IC 13-14-9;
38	will be held;
39	(7) appropriate information about a public hearing, if any,
40	including the names of the persons making the request;
41	(8) (7) a description of relevant scientific and technical findings
42	related to the proposed rule, if applicable: and



1	(9) (8) a reasonable estimate of the timetable for action
2	updated periodically as circumstances change, if necessary.
3	(d) (e) The agency shall maintain the rulemaking docket on the
4	agency's Internet web site. The information must be in an open format
5	that can be easily searched and downloaded. Access to the docket shall,
6	to the extent feasible and permitted by law, provide an opportunity for
7	public comment on the pertinent parts of the rulemaking docket
8	including relevant scientific and technical findings. Upon request, the
9	agency shall provide a written rulemaking docket.
10	SECTION 8. An emergency is declared for this act.



#### COMMITTEE REPORT

#### MR. SPEAKER:

Your Committee on Government and Regulatory Reform, to which was referred House Bill 1121, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the House with the recommendation that said bill do pass.

(Reference is to HB 1121 as introduced.)

Committee Vote: Yeas 13, Nays 0

Representative Mahan

#### **HOUSE MOTION**

Mr. Speaker: I move that House Bill 1121 be amended to read as follows:

Page 2, line 10, reset in roman "other individuals".

Page 2, line 10, after "individuals" insert ",".

Page 2, line 10, delete "attorneys".

Page 2, line 11, delete "licensed to practice law in Indiana,".

Page 2, between lines 17 and 18, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"(b) If a designation under subsection (a)(3) does not include at least one (1) attorney licensed to practice law in Indiana, any review of the administrative law judge's proceedings shall be de novo.".

Page 2, line 18, strike "(b)" and insert "(c)".

Page 2, line 21, strike "(c)" and insert "(d)".

Page 2, line 31, strike "(d)" and insert "(e)".

Page 3, line 4, strike "(e)" and insert "(f)".

Page 3, line 7, strike "(f)" and insert "(g)".

Page 3, line 10, delete "(g)" and insert "(h)".

Page 4, between lines 9 and 10, begin a new paragraph and insert: "SECTION 4. IC 4-21.5-5-1 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2014]: Sec. 1. Except as provided in IC 22-9 and IC 22-9.5, and subject to IC 4-21.5-3-9(b), this chapter establishes the exclusive means for judicial review of an agency action. However, a subpoena, discovery order, or protective order issued under this article ways he appropriate and subject to a string for similar to forester.

However, a subpoena, discovery order, or protective order issued under this article may be contested only in an action for civil enforcement under IC 4-21.5-6-2.".

Page 4, between lines 41 and 42, begin a new paragraph and insert:



"SECTION 6. IC 4-21.5-5-11 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2014]: Sec. 11. Judicial review of disputed issues of fact must be confined to the agency record for the agency action supplemented by additional evidence taken under section 12 of this chapter. **Except as provided in IC 4-21.5-3-9(b)**, the court may not try the cause de novo or substitute its judgment for that of the agency."

Renumber all SECTIONS consecutively.

(Reference is to HB 1121 as printed January 14, 2014.)

**KOCH** 

#### COMMITTEE REPORT

Madam President: The Senate Committee on Judiciary, to which was referred House Bill No. 1121, has had the same under consideration and begs leave to report the same back to the Senate with the recommendation that said bill be AMENDED as follows:

Page 1, between the enacting clause and line 1, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"SECTION 1. IC 4-2-7-3, AS AMENDED BY P.L.126-2012, SECTION 4, IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 3. The inspector general shall do the following:

- (1) Initiate, supervise, and coordinate investigations.
- (2) Recommend policies and carry out other activities designed to deter, detect, and eradicate fraud, waste, abuse, mismanagement, and misconduct in state government.
- (3) Receive complaints alleging the following:
  - (A) A violation of the code of ethics.
  - (B) Bribery (IC 35-44.1-1-2).
  - (C) Official misconduct (IC 35-44.1-1-1).
  - (D) Conflict of interest (IC 35-44.1-1-4).
  - (E) Profiteering from public service (IC 35-44.1-1-5).
  - (F) A violation of the executive branch lobbying rules.
  - (G) A violation of a statute or rule relating to the purchase of goods or services by a current or former employee, state officer, special state appointee, lobbyist, or person who has a business relationship with an agency.
- (4) If the inspector general has reasonable cause to believe that a



crime has occurred or is occurring, report the suspected crime to:

- (A) the governor; and
- (B) appropriate state or federal law enforcement agencies and prosecuting authorities having jurisdiction over the matter.
- (5) Adopt rules under IC 4-22-2 to implement IC 4-2-6 and this chapter.
- (6) Adopt rules under IC 4-22-2 and section 5 of this chapter to implement a code of ethics.
- (7) Ensure that every:
  - (A) employee;
  - (B) state officer;
  - (C) special state appointee; and
- (D) person who has a business relationship with an agency; is properly trained in the code of ethics.
- (8) Provide advice to an agency on developing, implementing, and enforcing policies and procedures to prevent or reduce the risk of fraudulent or wrongful acts within the agency.
- (9) Recommend legislation to the governor and general assembly to strengthen public integrity laws, including the code of ethics for state officers, employees, special state appointees, and persons who have a business relationship with an agency, including whether additional specific state officers, employees, or special state appointees should be required to file a financial disclosure statement under IC 4-2-6-8.
- (10) Annually submit a report to the legislative council detailing the inspector general's activities. The report must be in an electronic format under IC 5-14-6.
- (11) Prescribe and provide forms for statements required to be filed under IC 4-2-6 or this chapter.
- (12) Accept and file information that:
  - (A) is voluntarily supplied; and
  - (B) exceeds the requirements of this chapter.
- (13) Inspect financial disclosure forms.
- (14) Notify persons who fail to file forms required under IC 4-2-6 or this chapter.
- (15) Develop a filing, a coding, and an indexing system required by IC 4-2-6 and IC 35-44.1-1.
- (16) Prepare interpretive and educational materials and programs.
- (17) Adopt rules under IC 4-22-2 and section 9 of this chapter to implement a statewide code of judicial conduct for administrative law judges. The inspector general may adopt emergency rules in the manner provided under IC 4-22-2-37.1



to implement a statewide code of judicial conduct for administrative law judges.

SECTION 2. IC 4-2-7-9 IS ADDED TO THE INDIANA CODE AS A NEW SECTION TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE UPON PASSAGE]: Sec. 9. (a) The inspector general shall adopt rules under IC 4-22-2 establishing a statewide code of judicial conduct for administrative law judges. The statewide code of judicial conduct for administrative law judges must apply to every person acting as an administrative law judge for a state agency.

- (b) The inspector general:
  - (1) shall review 312 IAC 3-1-2.5 and 315 IAC 1-1-2 in adopting a statewide code of judicial conduct for administrative law judges; and
  - (2) may base the statewide code of judicial conduct for administrative law judges on 312 IAC 3-1-2.5 and 315 IAC 1-1-2.
- (c) A state agency may adopt rules under IC 4-22-2 to establish a supplemental code of judicial conduct for a person acting as an administrative law judge for that agency, if the supplemental code is at least as restrictive as the statewide code of judicial conduct for administrative law judges.
- (d) The inspector general may adopt emergency rules in the manner provided under IC 4-22-2-37.1 to implement a statewide code of judicial conduct for administrative law judges."

Page 1, delete lines 12 through 14.

Page 1, line 15, delete "(c)" and insert "(b)".

Page 1, after line 16, begin a new paragraph and insert:

- "(c) An agency shall post on the agency's Internet web site the:
  - (1) name;
  - (2) salary and other remuneration; and
  - (3) relevant professional experience;

of every person who serves as an administrative law judge for the agency.".

Page 2, delete lines 1 through 42, begin a new paragraph and insert the following:

"SECTION 4. IC 4-21.5-3-9 IS AMENDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS [EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2014]: Sec. 9. (a) Except to the extent that a statute other than this article limits an agency's discretion to select an administrative law judge, the ultimate authority for an agency may:

- (1) act as an administrative law judge;
- (2) designate one (1) or more members of the ultimate authority



- (if the ultimate authority is a panel of individuals) to act as an administrative law judge; or
- (3) designate one (1) or more: other individuals
  - (A) attorneys licensed to practice law in Indiana; or
  - (B) persons who served as administrative law judges for a state agency before January 1, 2014;

not necessarily employees of the agency, to act as an administrative law judge.

A person designated under subdivision (3) is not required to be an employee of the agency. A designation under subdivision (2) or (3) may be made in advance of the commencement of any particular proceeding for a generally described class of proceedings or may be made for a particular proceeding. A general designation may provide procedures for the assignment of designated individuals to particular proceedings.

- (b) An agency may not knowingly assign an individual to serve alone or with others as an administrative law judge who is subject to disqualification under this chapter.
- (c) If the judge believes that the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned, or believes that the judge's personal bias, prejudice, or knowledge of a disputed evidentiary fact might influence the decision, an individual assigned to serve alone or with others as an administrative law judge shall:
  - (1) withdraw as the administrative law judge; or
  - (2) inform the parties of the potential basis for disqualification, place a brief statement of this basis on the record of the proceeding, and allow the parties an opportunity to petition for disqualification under subsection (d).
- (d) Any party to a proceeding may petition for the disqualification of an individual serving alone or with others as an administrative law judge upon discovering facts establishing grounds for disqualification under this chapter. The administrative law judge assigned to the proceeding shall determine whether to grant the petition, stating facts and reasons for the determination. If the administrative law judge ruling on the disqualification issue is not the ultimate authority for the agency, the party petitioning for disqualification may petition the ultimate authority in writing for review of the ruling within ten (10) days after notice of the ruling is served. The ultimate authority shall conduct proceedings described by section 28 of this chapter to review the petition and affirm, modify, or dissolve the ruling within thirty (30) days after the petition is filed. A determination by the ultimate authority under this subsection is a final order subject to judicial review



under IC 4-21.5-5.

- (e) If a substitute is required for an administrative law judge who is disqualified or becomes unavailable for any other reason, the substitute must be appointed in accordance with subsection (a).
- (f) Any action taken by a duly appointed substitute for a disqualified or unavailable administrative law judge is as effective as if taken by the latter.
- (g) If there is a reasonable likelihood that the ultimate authority will be called upon to:
  - (1) review; or
  - (2) issue a final order with respect to;

a matter pending before or adjudicated by an administrative law judge, the provisions of section 11 of this chapter that apply to an administrative law judge or to a person communicating with an administrative law judge apply to a member of the ultimate authority and to a person communicating with a member of the ultimate authority."

Page 3, delete lines 1 through 22.

Page 4, delete lines 13 through 19.

Page 5, delete lines 10 through 16.

Page 6, after line 16, begin a new paragraph and insert:

"SECTION 10. An emergency is declared for this act.".

Renumber all SECTIONS consecutively.

and when so amended that said bill do pass.

(Reference is to HB 1121 as reprinted January 17, 2014.)

STEELE, Chairperson

Committee Vote: Yeas 8, Nays 0.

